

Date: February 21 <sup>st</sup> 2018 Maricopa Human Rights Committee Draft Minutes						
Committee Members Present: Scott G	ormley, Chair; Joy Green; Holly Gieszl; Jim Dunn; Lisa St. George; Josh Mozell; Jim Ward; Jack Potts, M.D.;					
Deb Jorgensen						
Non-Committee Members Present: Yis	el Sanchez; AHCCCS; Dana Hearn, AHCCCS; Troy Chester, MMIC, Miral Pierce-Byrd, MMIC					
Other Attendees: Loriela Hudgins; And	rea Bell, MMIC; Lynda Crooms, MMIC; Melissa Brown, Cenpatico; Tony Smith, Cenpatico					
Committee Members Absent:						
Next Meeting: March 21, 2018	Next Meeting: March 21, 2018					
Approved: , Chairper	rson					

			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
Call to Order and	Meeting called to order- Scott Gormley			
Introductions	5:00PM			
Chair	Scott announces that the committee has lots to discuss today. Committed to doing some training a couple months ago and this will take place today. Want to makes sure; there is enough time for this.		Scott	
Public Comment	Scott asks public if anyone would like to speak.  Jack asks that they share who they are and where they are from.			



Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
	<ul> <li>Christina Sabetta with Sabetta         Consulting- helped with recruiting on         this committee in the past. Attended         previously but was out on maternity         leave.</li> <li>Melissa Brown with Cenpatico, HRC         liaison in Southern Arizona.</li> </ul>			
Approval of Minutes for January 17, 2018	Scott asks if committee members had a chance to review meeting minutes.  Scott stated they do not have meeting minutes for the January subcommittee meeting related to the annual reports. He indicated minutes need to be generated and available for next month.	Scott asks for a motion to approve, Jack moves to approve the meeting minutes, Josh seconds. All vote in favor.	Committee	2/21/2018



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
MMIC Update	<ul> <li>Miral stated she had provided a few follow-ups in regards to the email received from Dr. Potts regarding unavailability of IAD reports on the web site. (See below)</li> <li>Miral speaks on specific request for breakdown of special assistance members that were COT homeless.</li> <li>Miral indicated MMIC asked for clarification, but didn't receive anything back.</li> <li>1936 members on special assistance, of these 40 identified to have met criteria for homeless COT, 2% of special assistance population</li> <li>Based on the inquiry looked in to these further to see if there was anything that could be done to meet the needs of these members.</li> <li>Miral shared that once clarification is provided to MMIC she will be able to identify what the committee is looking for specifically.</li> <li>Scott stated they did have follow up from Jack that carried over from last month but will defer to later on.</li> <li>Miral stated MMIC does have the</li> </ul>			



Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
	IAD's that the Committee requested and can provide these to the committee as well as information as to why they were missing in the portal.  Reports shared with committee.  Miral offers court ordered treatment and/or complaint/grievance and appeal trainings in the future.			
Presentation  Lynda Crooms-MMIC	<ul> <li>Dana describes her handout, which is a</li> <li>"Cheat sheet"—types of residences that are listed on the deliverables with a brief description and any references (Policy/Licensing).</li> <li>MMIC presented on behavioral health residential facilities and supportive housing and Dana will cover other settings not referred to by RBHA providers.</li> <li>Lynda Crooms- Supervisor for residential team, gave a Residential Facility Overview</li> <li>Discussion-followed.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Dana notes that AHCCCS added an</li> </ul>			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	SABG FAQ that is much easier to get			
	through than having to read the entire			
	substance abuse block grant.			
	<ul> <li>Deb asks Dana to send link to the</li> </ul>			
	committee members			
	o Josh asks if someone is non T19, the	Dana to send committee link to	Dana Hearn	2/28/2017
	only way they can be in residential is if	SABG FAQ .		
	they have a co-occurring substance			
	abuse disorder and coming in from the			
	justice system with a referral. Lynda			
	confirms this is correct.			
	<ul> <li>Jim W asks how it is determined that a</li> </ul>			
	member is ready to step down to a			
	lower level; Lynda stated this has to			
	do with the medical necessity criteria			
	as determined through continued			
	reviews, which are done in increments			
	of 30 to 60 days after initial review .			
	The purpose is to help monitor how a			
	member is progressing with			
	treatment, ensuring member is getting			
	to appointments, and recommending			
	changes to the treatment plan. Doing			
	this-allows MMIC to determine if a			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	member no longer meets criteria for a			
	specific level of care.			
	<ul> <li>Jim W asks if members cook their own</li> </ul>			
	meals at this level; Lynda stated many			
	members can cook but choose not to			
	therefore it more a question of can			
	they obtain a meal.			
	<ul> <li>Jim D commented on this begin being</li> </ul>			
	designed to be short term, but asks			
	what longer term options are available			
	if someone is unable to progress at			
	this level. Lynda stated that longer			
	term options are available; an			
	example would be flex care			
	<ul> <li>Joy asks who does the reviewing for</li> </ul>			
	step down to a lower level. Lynda			
	shared that MMIC has licensed			
	clinicians and a registered nurse who			
	mainly covers-those who are in the			
	PCS services. But MMIC also has			
	licensed clinicians who do the initial			
	review. Also, MMIC staff go into			
	rounds and talk to Drs and psychiatrist			
	about particular cases			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	<ul> <li>Dana adds that MMIC reviews with</li> </ul>			
	both the primary treating provider and			
	the actual residential facility provider			
	and clinicians. There are also			
	staffing's the with the member and			
	the team. MMIC attends staffing's as			
	needed.			
	<ul> <li>Josh asks if the licensed clinician is</li> </ul>			
	independently employed. Lynda			
	clarified that licensed clinician in			
	employed by MMIC			
	<ul> <li>Jack asks if 24-hour BHRF refer to all 4</li> </ul>			
	previously mentioned residential			
	options and half way houses. Lynda			
	clarified that MMIC does not refer to			
	halfway houses.			
	<ul> <li>Josh asks if the members' treating</li> </ul>			
	doctor is coordinating with the			
	independently licensed clinician.			
	Lynda clarifies that the treating doctor			
	works with the clinical team on one			
	end but works with the behavioral			
	health residential so member			
	continues to see outpatient drs as well			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	as doctors for medical care			
	<ul> <li>Dana stated that if MMIC's licensed</li> </ul>			
	clinicians noted a member is ready for			
	discharge, but treating physicians say <del>s</del>			
	otherwise, they are able to talk about			
	it.			
	<ul> <li>Josh asks who wins the fight. Dana</li> </ul>			
	stated its usually a collaboration to			
	come to a decision and decision is			
	appealable if need be			
	<ul> <li>Lynda shared that there are instances</li> </ul>			
	where information is not being			
	communicated by the residential			
	facility, so MMIC does keep in			
	communication with doctor and			
	clinical team before deciding if a			
	members leaves this level of care			
	<ul> <li>Jack reminds the HRC of a medical</li> </ul>			
	necessity issue <del>s</del> they dealt with a			
	couple years ago when AHCCCS			
	reviewed all members in a BHRF and			
	sent notices of termination of their			
	placement.			
	<ul> <li>The patients at the BHRF had clinical</li> </ul>			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	needs that required this type of			
	supportive housing and were also			
	going to the AHCCCS clinics to receive			
	treatment, so AHCCCS's problem was			
	the double billing;. Dana clarified that			
	BHRF billing is a daily per diem that			
	includes programming			
	<ul> <li>Jack noted that this type of in -house</li> </ul>			
	treatment is very sporadic; although			
	facilities show programs, they aren't			
	really providing the quality of			
	programs seen prior. Dana stated that			
	AHCCCS has since conducted auditing			
	for the concerns Jack mentioned			
	<ul> <li>Jack is interested in the Committee</li> </ul>			
	seeing these auditing results. Lynda			
	stated that regular reviews help with			
	this since they ask what they have			
	been doing and when they do it Jack			
	asks as an example if a member may			
	come-to clinic for group 3x a week as a			
	transition from a BHRF. Lynda			
	confirms this is currently allowed and			
	looked at closer to when the transition			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	to a lower level is being considered.			
	<ul> <li>Scott asks about the 14 day review</li> </ul>			
	and how often is it not done. Lynda			
	confirms a lot, more than they would			
	like. Usually the review is incomplete ,			
	missing documentation			
	<ul> <li>Deb asks if the HIE electronic health</li> </ul>			
	record is helping with this and is it			
	being incorporated. Lynda stated it			
	does help sometimes, but she didn't			
	think it is being incorporated. <del>,</del>			
	<ul> <li>Jim asks how many members are</li> </ul>			
	currently at this level of care and what			
	is the capacity? Lynda states currently			
	540-560 and the capacity is just over			
	600.			
	<ul> <li>Jim W asks about providers not</li> </ul>			
	stepping down members that were			
	easy to work with, asks if this is still a			
	problem. Lynda confirms these are			
	some of the hardest members to			
	move, but slowly transition out			
	<ul> <li>Jim W nots that since it took over,</li> </ul>			
	MMIC is doing a great job at rotating			



			Person(s)	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	•	•
Item	Discussion people in and out.  Andrea Bell- Housing Administrator conducted her training  • Josh is concerned with transitioning from residential treatment to housing. Does the VI-SPDAT take into consideration what treatment has been received. Andrea Bell stated that a member must be SMI and homeless to be placed in residential treatment. Homeless are  • Prioritized because they are not housed. If member has income	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
	responsible for 30%; if no income, MMIC covers in totality.  • Score 8 or greater on the VI-SPDAT- score means members needs permanent supportive house  • MMIC directly refers to housing depending on availability			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
7,00,11	Andrea discussed bridge to	. се. груг сме	- 10.10.10.11 Ср	2 440
	permanency			
	<ul> <li>Jack asked if member may be non-T19</li> </ul>			
	to qualify for housingAndrea			
	confirmed.			
	<ul> <li>Holly asks if prioritization is rank</li> </ul>			
	ordered. Andrea states no.			
	Holly asked if someone released from			
	a hospital, who does the VI-SPDAT?			
	Andrea stated that if a member is			
	currently on wait list, MMIC verifies			
	what member wanted before and			
	need now are still the same, also if			
	coming from a specific setting they			
	know what the housing need is			
	someone will start to work on it			
	before transition occurs. No perfect			
	template as each individual will have			
	different needs.			
	Holly asks how incarcerated person			
	the VI-SPDAT. Andrea clarified in reach			
	services go in the jails. The clinical			
	team would do the VI-SPDAT			



			Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
ltem	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	wherever it needs to be done.	.,		
	<ul> <li>Andrea shares numbers of those</li> </ul>			
	housed from 2014 until present.			
	<ul> <li>Jim Dunn inquired about any</li> </ul>			
	outcomes data available.			
	Andrea shares more information and			
	notes willingness for more			
	information to come through HRC			
	liaison to the HRC.			
	<ul> <li>Jack asks how it is possible for a group</li> </ul>			
	home to be listed as supporting			
	housing. Is the system language and			
	what the home owners decide to call			
	themselves two different things?			
	Dana stated this may be an error on			
	the report. Private sites in the			
	community may call themselves			
	whatever they want. Due to			
	deliverable content issues brought to			
	the department's attention, there is			
	ongoing data scrubbing effort. 42,092			
	cells are input/altered in relation to			
	the 1936 members in Maricopa on			



H	Diamorian	Fallow Ha /A stice	Person(s) Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion Special Assistance. By 2/25	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	deliverable hoping to have much more			
	accurate information			
	DHS public licensing page available on line for public to see what type of			
	facility is designated as			
	o Holly asks if the data scrubbing effort related to the HRC's work on the error			
	rate for the SA population's address.			
	Dana confirmed this was the case.			
	Holly commented that she is glad that			
	HRC work led to something positive.			
	And practical to help improve the			
	system. This is an important item for			
	the 2018 Annual Report.			
	<ul> <li>Dana shares AHCCCS's goal is to have</li> </ul>			
	this information uploaded to a portal,	Outcome data will be sent to	Troy Chester	Before 3/21/2018
	which will help by <del>n</del> -having have less	Troy for dissemination to the		
	hands in the mix.	committee.		
	<ul> <li>Jack asks for clarification AM/PM with</li> </ul>			
	relation to IADs. Dana clarifies it is			
	AHCCCS medical policy manual			
	(AMPM)			
	<ul> <li>Additional training available upon</li> </ul>	Dana offers future additional	Committee	TBD



Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
	request per Dana.  Jim D. asked about alternatives and flex care. Dana clarified alternative includes 24 hours community treatment, under supporting housing in MMIC presentation, ACT, wrap around supports  Josh asks about ASH versus voluntary and option for in the middle. Dana confirms ASH is not an alternative to a BHRF and they are two completely different levels of care.  Josh asks if BHRF not adequate for some people, where does someone go before ASH. Is there an in-between? Dana noted that MMIC Reviews options of other inpatient settings if meeting medical necessity, ACT teams, community placements, flex options, etc.  Josh discussed people needed long term options and locked options. Discussion followed regarding the need for permanent stay options.	Presentations to be sent to the committee via email	Yisel	2/28/2018



ltem	Discussion  O Deb requested presentations be sent to all the committee.	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
PAD Process	Tabled		Josh	TBD
<b>UPC Incarcerations</b>	Tabled		Scott	March
IAD Reports	<ul> <li>Scott reviewed this month</li> <li>Search from 11/1/2017- 2/20-2018 for deaths</li> <li>Special Assistance had one recorded</li> <li>SMI had 69</li> <li>Might be missing on a bigger nugget by focusing on Special assistance only</li> <li>Need to broaden the scope</li> <li>Jack missing IAD reports (approx. 6)</li> <li>Miral- copies provided for missing reports</li> <li>AHCCCS and MMIC reviewed that HRC asked for a slimmed down set of the IAD criteria as delineated in the October 2015 meeting minutes</li> <li>Miral asks if all want to be reviewed moving forward</li> <li>Dana shares plan moving forward with the RBHA to ensure delivery</li> <li>Jack inquires why reports are redacted</li> <li>Committee would like to see policy</li> </ul>	Motion to request un-redacted IAD reports- Jack makes motion Josh seconds- everyone votes in favor.  Jack and Josh will draft and send letter clarifying Committee request for IADs (topics, etc.).	Jack Josh	2/21/2018  End of month



ltem	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	Person(s) Responsible for Follow Up	Target Completion Date
item	<ul> <li>Jack sated he used to received unredacted reports and still has some at his home.</li> <li>Holly quick calculation, 69 SMI deaths in 111 days, that is almost 1 person dying-every 1.6 days.</li> </ul>	Tollow Op/Action	TOT TOHOW OF	Dutc
NAMI Education	Scott thanks Jim Dunn and Jim Ward for			
Meeting	participation			
Site Visits	<ul> <li>Joy and Jack conducted two site visits</li> <li>Pleased with outcomes</li> <li>Very clean</li> <li>Happy residents</li> <li>Mentor Group and Foundation for Senior living</li> <li>2 names provided to Troy as they were not living at the identified location</li> </ul>			
Annual Report	<ul> <li>Scott would like to know if the committee is ready to vote on annual report</li> <li>Lisa will insert information sent by Joy and Holly</li> </ul>	Jack, Jim seconds amended motion to accept annual report with changes sent to Lisa. All vote in favor. Scott abstains		
Future Agenda Items:	<ul> <li>January Sub Committee meeting minutes</li> <li>Election of officers</li> <li>PAD Process</li> <li>UPC</li> </ul>	Committee to produce for approval at the meeting in March	Committee	3/21/2018



			Person(s)	
			Responsible	Target Completion
Item	Discussion	Follow-Up/Action	for Follow Up	Date
	Letter from AHCCCS			
NEXT MEETING	Date: March 21, 2018	Committee adjourns into	Scott Gormley	Before 3-27-2018
	5:00PM-7:00PM	executive session to discuss		
	212 E. Osborn Road, Phoenix 85012	personnel issues.		